Annual Program Assessment Report

Academic Year Assessed: 2021-2022

College: Letters and Science

Department: Sociology & Anthropology

Submitted by:

Majors/Minors/Certificate

Anthropology

Program(s) Assessed:

Indicate all majors, minors, certificates and/or options that are included in this assessment:

Options

Assessment reports are to be submitted annually by program/s. The report deadline is October 15th .

A LA COLIDON ORD LICTO
Annual Assessment Process (CHECK OFF LIST)
Data are collected as defined by Assessment Plan
YESx NO
2. Population or unbiased samples of collected assignments are scored by at least two faculty
members using scoring rubrics to ensure inter-rater reliability.
YES <u>x</u> NO
3. Areas where the acceptable performance threshold has not been met are highlighted.
YESx NO NA
4. Assessment scores were presented at a program/unit faculty meeting.
YESx NO
5. The faculty reviewed the assessment results, and responded accordingly (Check all appropriate
lines) Gather additional data to verify or refute the result
Identify potential curriculum changes to try to address the problem
Change the acceptable performance threshold, reassess
Choose a different assignment to assess the outcome
Faculty may reconsider thresholds
Evaluate the rubric to assure outcomes meet student skill level
Use Bloom's Taxonomy to consider stronger learning outcomesx
Choose a different assignment to assess the outcome
OTHER: Develop a curriculum map.
6. Does your report demonstrate changes made because of previous assessment results (closing the
loop)? YESx NO

- 1. Assessment Plan, Schedule and Data Source.
- a. Please provide a multi-year assessment schedule that will show when all program learning outcomes will be assessed, and by what criteria (data). (You may use the table provided, or you may delete and use a different format).

ASSESSMENT PLANNING	CHART				
PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOME	2020-	2021-	2022-	2023-	Data
	2021	2022	2023	2024	Source*
Students will recognize and differentiate the diversity of human cultures and languages and the principles and methods that anthropologists employ for studying them.	Х			X	Paper/Essay Exam
Students will identify the biological principles and historical contingencies that explain and govern the deep history of humanity as revealed by the findings of human paleontology and archaeology.	Х			Х	Essay Exam
Students will describe the fundamental laws and processes of heredity and evolution, and their implications for individuals and populations.		ANTY 215			Essay Exam
Students will demonstrate knowledge of contemporary anthropological or archaeological theory.		ANTY 428	Х		Final Paper
Students will demonstrate facility with critical thinking and cross-cultural competencies necessary for participation in today's globalized world.			Х		Final Paper

^{*}Data sources can be items such as randomly selected student essays or projects, specifically designed exam questions, student presentations or performances, or a final paper. Do not use course evaluations or surveys as primary sources for data collection.

b. What are your threshold values for which you demonstrate student achievement? (Example provided in the table should be deleted before submission)

Threshold Values									
PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOME	Threshold Value	Data Source							
LO#3: Students will describe the fundamental laws and	The threshold value for this	Randomly							
processes of heredity and evolution, and their	outcome is for 80% of assessed	selected							
implications for individuals and populations.	students to score 3 or above on a	student							
	1-4 scoring rubric.	essays/exams							
LO#4: Students will demonstrate knowledge of	The threshold value for this	Randomly							
contemporary anthropological or archaeological theory.	outcome is for 80% of assessed	selected							
	students to score 3 or above on a	student							
	1-4 scoring rubric.	papers							

2	V	١/	ha	ıŧ	W	las	D	Λn	Δ
L -	v	v	111	ш.	v	113	.,		

a) Was the completed assessment consistent with the plan provided? YES_	_ X	NO
If no, please explain why the plan was altered.		

b) Please provide a rubric that demonstrates how your data was evaluated.

Indicator	Level 1: Not yet competent	Level 2: Fairly Competent	Level 3: Highly Competent	Level 4: Sophisticated	Threshold Values: 80% of students will meet or exceed Level 3 competency
Relevance of Answer to the Question	Essay did not answer the question	Answer is incomplete; excessive discussion of unrelated issues and/or significant errors in content	Answer is brief with insufficient detail; unrelated issues were introduced and/or minor errors in content	Answer is complete; sufficient detail provided to support assertions; answer focuses only on issues related to the question; factually correct	
Thoroughness of Answer	None of the relevant details were included	Serious gaps in the basic details needed	Most of the basic details are included but some are missing	Deals fully with the entire question	
Organization and Logic of Answer	Weak organization; sentences rambling; ideas are repeated	Minor problems of organization or logic; needs work on creating transitions between ideas	Clear and logical presentation; good development of an argument; transitions are made clearly and smoothly	Clear and logical presentation; good development of an argument; transitions are made clearly and smoothly	
Mechanics of Writing	Major problems with mechanics of language; awkward sentence construction; poor or absent transitions; frequently difficult to understand	Frequent problems with mechanics of language; occasional awkward sentences and poor transitions; reduce readability	Clear, readable prose; good use of transitions; no problems with spelling, punctuation or grammar	Clear, readable prose; good use of transitions; no problems with spelling, punctuation or grammar	

PLO #4: St	udents will demonstra	te knowledge of conte	mporary anthropologi	cal or archaeological theory.	·
	Level 1: Not yet competent	Level 2: Fairly Competent	Level 3: Highly Competent	Level 4: Sophisticated	Threshold Values: 80% of students will meet or exceed Level 3 competency
Depth of Analysis	Paper does not address the assignment. Paper is inconsistent with anthropological principles (e.g., it makes or fails to challenge ethnocentric assumptions)	Paper does not address some aspects of the assignment. Paper demonstrates a somewhat shaky grasp of anthropological principles.	Paper fully meets the parameters of the assignment but does not exceed them. Paper demonstrates a good grasp of anthropological principles but has some awkwardness in applying them.	Paper goes beyond the assignment to explore the implications of arguments or evidence in new contexts or in particularly thoughtful, insightful, and/or original ways. Paper shows a nuanced grasp of anthropological principles and the ability to apply these principles with facility.	
Grasp of Readings	Paper badly misrepresents the authors' arguments, evidence, and/or conclusions.	Paper represents the authors' arguments, evidence and conclusions accurately though not sufficiently clearly. There are minor inaccuracies.	Paper represents the author's arguments, evidence and conclusions accurately.	Paper represents the authors' arguments, evidence and conclusions accurately, fairly and eloquently. Demonstrates a firm understanding of the implications of the author's arguments.	
Thesis Paragraph	Thesis paragraph does not have a discernable central argument. The argument is not demonstrable.	Thesis paragraph identifies a central argument that is demonstrable, though not stated sufficiently clearly. Does not guide the reader into the body of the paper.	Thesis paragraph clearly identifies a demonstrable central argument. Gives the reader a reasonably good sense of the nature of evidence that will follow.	Clearly and eloquently identifies a demonstrable and nuanced central argument. Provides the reader with a clear sense of the nature of evidence that will follow. Reveals the organizational structure of the paper. Guides the reader smoothly and logically into the body of the paper.	
Evidence	Evidence used does not clearly support the main argument. (Where applicable) Important opposing evidence is ignored, thereby weakening the central argument.	Connection between argument and evidence is not clearly articulated in all cases. (Where applicable) Consideration of opposing evidence is cursory or the evidence is not convincingly refuted.	Evidence used to support the central point is well chosen, though not particularly rich or detailed. The connection between argument and evidence is clearly articulated.	Evidence used to support the central point is rich, detailed and well chosen. Evidence sections employ appropriate illustrations and/or quotations. The connection between argument and evidence is clearly and compellingly articulated in all cases. (Where applicable) Important opposing evidence (i.e. evidence that might seem to contradict	

Conclusion	Is missing or cursory. Repeats the topic paragraph more-or-less verbatim.	Restates the same points as the topic paragraph without reframing them. Introduces new material rather than new perspectives.	(Where applicable) Some opposing evidence is considered and refuted. Synthesizes and brings closure but does not examine new perspectives or questions.	your argument) is considered and convincingly refuted. Elegantly synthesizes and reframes key points from the paper. Suggests new perspectives or questions relevant to the central argument, and brings closure.	
Organization	Organization of the paper as a whole is not logical or discernable.	Organization of the paper as a whole can only be discerned with effort. Not all parts of the paper fit the organizational structure. Not all the parts of the paper are effectively integrated. In a number of paragraphs, there is not a distinct or coherent point. Topic sentences are missing or unclear in a number of paragraphs. In a number of paragraphs, the parts do not connect logically.	Organization of paper as a whole is logical and apparent, but transitions between paragraphs are not consistently smooth. Every paragraph makes one distinct and coherent point and, for the most part, the parts of each paragraph connect logically and effectively. In all but a few cases, the paragraph's point is expressed in a clear topic sentence.	Organization of paper as a whole is logical and quickly apparent. Connections among paragraphs are clearly articulated. Transitions between paragraphs are smooth. Every paragraph makes one distinct and coherent point, expressed in a clear topic sentence; the parts of each paragraph connect logically and persuasively, and internal transitions are smooth.	
Clarity	Throughout the paper, wording is imprecise or ambiguous. Sentence structure is consistently confusing.	Wording is imprecise or ambiguous fairly often. Sentence structure is often confusing. Quotations are not framed effectively in the text.	Paper is for the most part precisely worded and unambiguous. Sentence structure is mostly clear. Quotations are framed effectively in the text.	Throughout the paper, wording is precise and unambiguous. Sentence structure is consistently clear and lucid. Quotations are all framed effectively in the text (i.e. integrated properly in terms of both grammar and meaning) and explicated where necessary.	
Mechanics	Paper is unacceptably sloppy. Quotes are frequently not attributed or improperly cited.	There are a number of spelling and grammatical errors. In a few places, quotes are not attributed and cited.	There are a few minor spelling or grammatical errors. Quotes are all properly attributed and cited.	Paper is clean and appropriately formatted. There are no incomplete or run-on sentences. Quotes are all properly attributed and cited. There are virtually no spelling or grammatical errors.	

This type of rubric can be used for all levels of assessment (the anticipated evaluation score may vary according to the course level). Some rubrics/assessments may be more tailored for courses (e.g. designed to assess outcomes in upper division courses or for lower division) and therefore the scores might be similar across course levels. Or, if you are assessing more basic learning outcomes, you might expect outcomes to be established earlier in the academic career.

3. How Data Were Collected

a) How were data collected? (Please include method of collection and sample size).

For ANTY 215, all of the completed short answer/essays (37 in the class) were submitted as artifacts. Of the 37, nearly 20% (7 of 37, 19%) were randomly evaluated with the above rubric. Each quiz was given a number and a random number generator used to select the 7 in this sample.

For ANTY 428, a sample of 6 papers was submitted by the instructor as artifacts. These represent a 46% sample (6 of 13) for the class.

b) Explain the assessment process, and who participated in the analysis of the data.

Each of the artifacts for each PLO was read and scored for each category using the rubrics presented above. Every artifact was given a final overall score. These are presented in table form below.

The analysis of the data was carried out independently by each member of the assessment committee (Brooke Bocast, Michael Neeley).

Data Table for ANTY 215 PLO #3: Students will describe the fundamental laws and processes of heredity and evolution.

	Sample #8	Sample #9	Sample #14	Sample #20	Sample #22	Sample #29	Sample #32	Overall
Relevance of Answer to the Question	L4	L4	L2	L2/L3	L3/L4	L3/L4	L3	(3.21) L3
Thoroughness of the Answer	L4	L4	L2/L3	L2/L3	L3	L3	L3	(3.14) L3
Organization & Logic of Answer	L3	L4	L2/L3	L2/L3	L3	L3/L4	L2/L3	(3.0) L3
Mechanics of Writing	L4	L4	L3	L3	L3	L3	L2/L3	(3.21) L3
Overall	L4 (3.75)	L4 (4.0)	L2/L3 (2.5)	L2/L3 (2.62)	L3 (3.12)	L3/L4 (3.25)	L2/L3 (2.75)	(3.14) L3

	Sample #8	Sample #9	Sample #14	Sample #20	Sample #22	Sample #29	Sample #32	Overall
Relevance of Answer to the Question	4	4	3	3	4	3	4	3.6 (L3/L4)
Thoroughness of the Answer	3	4	1	2	3	2	3	2.6 (L2/L3)
Organization & Logic of Answer	3	3	2	2	3	2	4	2.7 (L2/L3)
Mechanics of Writing	2	3	1	2	3	2	2	2.1 (L2)
Overall	3	3.5	1.75	2.25	3.25	2.25	3.25	2.75 (L2/L3)

A summary of the scores show four of the artifact answers at Level 3 or above with two at Level2/3 and one at Level 2 or below. This puts four (57%) of the answers at Highly Competent or above, two at Fairly Competent/Highly Competent, and one at Fairly Competent.

Data Table for ANTY 428 PLO #4: Students will demonstrate knowledge of contemporary anthropological or archaeological theory.

	Paper #1	Paper #2	Paper #3	Paper #4	Paper #5	Paper #6	Overall
Topic	Evangelical Mission	Menstruation	Durkheim	Mead & Hurston	Kroeber	Cultural Evolution	
Depth of Analysis	L3/L4	L3/L4	L2	L4	L4	L4	(3.5) L3/L4
Grasp of Readings	L3	L3	L2	L3/L4	L4	L4	(3.25) L3
Thesis Paragraph	L2/L3	L3	L2	L3/L4	L3/L4	L2/L3	(2.83) L3
Evidence	L3/L4	L3/L4	L2/L3	L4	L4	L4	(3.58) L3/L4
Conclusion	L3/L4	L3	L2	L4	L4	L2/L3	(3.16) L3
Organization	L3	L3	L2	L4	L4	L3/L4	(3.08) L3
Clarity	L3	L3	L2	L4	L4	L3/L4	(3.25) L3
Mechanics	L3	L3	L2/L3	L3/L4	L4	L3/L4	(3.25) L3
Overall	L3 (3.12)	L3 (3.12)	L2 (2.12)	L3/L4(3.31)	L4 (3.93)	L3/L4 (3.43)	(3.17) L3

	Paper #1	Paper #2	Paper #3	Paper #4	Paper #5	Paper #6	Overall
Topic	Evangelical	Bloody Myth	Durkheim	Hurston	Kroeber	Evolutionism	
Depth of Analysis	4	3	2	3	4	3	3.2 (L3)

Grasp of Readings	4	4	2	4	4	4	3.7 (L3/L4)
Thesis Paragraph	3	3	2	4	4	3	3.2 (L3)
Evidence	4	3	3	4	4	4	3.7 (L3/L4)
Conclusion	4	3	2	4	4	3	3.3 (L3)
Organization	3	4	2	4	4	4	3.5 (L3/L4)
Clarity	4	4	2	4	4	4	3.7 (L3/L4)
Mechanics	3	4	2	3	4	4	3.3 (L3)
Overall	L3/L4	L3/L4	L2	L4	L4	L4	3.5 (L3/L4)

A summary of the overall scores for this answer have five at Level 3 or above and one at Level 2. Five of the six (83%) are at Highly Competent or above and only one is at Fairly Competent.

NOTE: Student names must not be included in data collection. Totals of successful completions, manner of assessment (publications, thesis/dissertation, or qualifying exam) may be presented in table format if they apply to learning outcomes.

4. What Was Learned

Based on the analysis of the data, and compared to the threshold values provided, what was learned from the assessment?

In one case (ANTY 428) the threshold of 80% was met, whereas in the other case (ANTY 215) only 57% of the artifacts met the threshold (though in the latter case 85% were at the Fairly Competent/Highly Competent level). One of the factors that might impact these scores is the presence of majors (ANTY 428) versus a mix of majors and non-majors (ANTY 215). Generally, the majors are more committed to the curriculum and are likely to score higher as a result. A second factor could involve our sampling. In the lower division course there were 37 students of which only 20% were selected. Because of the small sample size (N=7), two or three below threshold scores drop the assessment results below our 80% boundary. This could be resolved in two ways. One, we could lower the threshold from 80% to 70% to capture more students meeting the learning outcomes. Two, we could increase the sample size in these classes to try to offset the impact of a few scores in a small sample size. Perhaps using a threshold of 33% or higher to minimize this impact.

a) Areas of strength

In the essay answer, the strength of the argument is typically reflected in the student addressing or understanding the question and then being able to bring sufficient detail to address the question. Organization is also important, but not nearly as much as knowing something about the question.

For the paper example, the strengths are depth of analysis, use of evidence, grasp of readings, and organization. Again, it seems that the concepts/ideas and the evidence to support them are strengths of the writing process. In addition, good organization is also reflected in these papers.

b) Areas that need improvement

In the essay examples, organization and thoroughness of the answer were deemed to be areas of weakness. Part of this may stem from the type of question in which presenting the information without considering the organization of the information is favored by the students. The mechanics of writing are generally low here, but the emphasis in the question is on the material and not how to present it. One possibility would be to eliminate the mechanics as an element of assessment for these types of questions.

In the paper examples, the biggest weaknesses are seen in the mechanics of writing, the thesis paragraph, and the conclusion. Anecdotally, this seems to be a time issue as students who write things at the last minute tend to have less well-written text (less time for editing) and often run out of steam when they get to the conclusion section. The issue of the thesis statement is important, as it is the lynchpin for a successful paper. So often students have good paper ideas and data, but have a difficult time understanding what it and how to present a compelling thesis statement.

5. How We Responded

a) Describe how "What Was Learned" was communicated to the department, or program faculty. Was there a forum for faculty to provide feedback and recommendations?

The results were sent to each of the faculty and then the four of us got together to discuss the results. There was a general sense that this was useful, but no plan of action was deemed to be necessary at the present.

•	ovements, or realignment of learning outcomes)?
YES	NOx
If yes, when will	these changes be implemented?
other criteria is u	nich outcome is targeted, and how changes will be measured for improvement. If sed to recommend program changes (such as exit surveys, or employer satisfaction xplain how the responses are driving department, or program decisions.

c) When will the changes be next assessed?

6. Closing the Loop

a) Based on assessment from previous years, can you demonstrate program level changes that have led to outcome improvements?

This is our second year collecting data under the new assessment plan. Last year we created a curriculum map for anthropology to help us see where the courses fit into the program learning outcomes. One area of improvement would be to regularly assess which program outcome the courses meet (solicit faculty input on this). We also hope to add courses in the future and will need to update our curriculum map as we move forward.

We also modified Program Learning Outcome #1. It initially read "Students will develop an appreciation for the diversity of cultures and languages and the principles and methods that anthropologists employ for studying them." We have modified it to read, "Students will recognize and differentiate the diversity of human cultures and languages and the principles and methods that anthropologists employ for studying them." This emphasizes outcome changes in comprehension (recognize) and analysis (differentiate). The logic for this is that both lower division as well as upper division courses can be assessed under this broad learning outcome.

Submit report to programassessment@montana.edu

ANTY Curriculum Map

		Program Outcomes						
Course #	Title	Diversity of	Biological &	Laws &	Knowledge of	Critical		
		cultures &	historical	processes of	anthropological	thinking &		
		languages and	contingencies	heredity and	or	cross cultural		
		methods used to	used to explain	evolution	archaeological	competencies		
		study them	the past		theory			
ANTY 101D	Anth & Human Experience	l	1	1	-	I		
ANTY 212CS	Bones, Apes, & Ancestors	-	1	I	-	-		
ANTY 215IS	Human Prehistory	-	I	I		-		
ANTY 223IS Anth, Pop Culture &		I	-	-	-	I		
	Everyday Life							
ANTY 225IS	Culture, Lang & Society	I	-	-		1		
ANTY 242D	Contemporary Japan	I	-	-		I		
ANTY 252IS	Mysteries of the Past	-	I	1		-		
ANTY 313	Biological Anthropology	-	D	D		-		
ANTY 315	Forensic Anthropology	i	D	D		-		
ANTY 327	Medical Anthropology	D	D			D		
ANTY 332	Native North America	D	-	-	-	D		
ANTY 336	Myth, Ritual, & Religion	D				D		
ANTY 337	Sex, Gender, Sexuality	D	-	-		D		
	Japan							
ANTY 343	Popular Culture Japan	D	-	-		D		
ANTY 348	Contemporary Africa	D	-	-		D		
ANTY 350	Old World Prehistory		D	D		D		
ANTY 351	Archaeology of N. America		D	-		D		
ANTY 356	Archaeology of SW Asia		D	-		D		
ANTY 375	Anth of Humans & Environ		D	-		D		
ANTY 427	Anthropology of Gender	D	-	-		D		
ANTY 428RS	Anthropological Theory		-	-	D/M	D		
ANTY 441	Social Movements in Japan	D	-	-		D		
ANTY 450R	Archaeological Theory		D/M	-	D/M	D		
ANTY 454	Lithic Technology		D	-		D		
ANTY 467	Arch Field School		D	-				
ANTY 473	Language & Culture	D	-	-		D		
		I—Students are int	—Students are introduced to the outcome					
		D—Students have the opportunity to further develop the outcome						
		M—Students can demonstrate mastery at the exit level						